UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/727,160	12/02/2003	Simon Robert Walmsley	PEA28US	6702
	7590 10/24/200 K RESEARCH PTY I	EXAMINER		
393 DARLING		MCCOMMAS, BRENDAN N		
BALMAIN, 20 AUSTRALIA	+1		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/727,160	WALMSLEY ET AL	WALMSLEY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
BRENDAN MCCOMMAS			

	BRENDAN MCCOMMAS	2625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addi	ess			
THE REPLY FILED 28 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejectio E FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. .ED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extremed and continuous calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complexity. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS	t muianta tha data of filing a buiaf	will mat be entered be				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
appeal; and/or	or term for appear by materially for	adding or enripmying th	10 100 000 101			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	·					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allength non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	-			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an ex	planation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	l c u l c ccii Ni	·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Twyler L. Haskins/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625	/ Brendan N. MCComm Examiner, Art Unit 2625					

Continuation of 13. Other: The Applicant's arguments filed on September 19, 2008 have been fully considered but are not persuasive. ON page 2 of the Applicant's arguments, the Applicant argues that, "Machida fails to teach broadcasting from each consumer to each of the other consumers a value indiciateve of an amount of the one or more resources consumed...However Machida only discloses the printer sending the toner consumption amount to the single management server only. The log infromation is updated and controlled in the hard disk of the management server only." The examiner respectfully disagrees and points to the fact that each printer manages a set of "logs" (see column 19, lines 13-15). The examiner interprets these to mean, the other logs from the other devices on the network. In order for the invention of Machida to properly work, ie for the functionality of the whole system to be understood by a user designing a print process, the consumption of each of the devices much be sent to the other devices via the management server. (This is further disclosed in column 20, lines 56-64, which discusses that the information stored in the client device is a combination of the information from the management server, and column 22, lines 1-29 which discloses further that the client devices (consumers) receive information related to the status of the peripheral devices via the management server, and the client device and the peripheral device can be combined to create one consumer device with the consumer information of the other devices stored on the that hard-drive, as exhibited in figure 20 and disclosed in column 13, lines 23-45).